

Integral Equation for Simultaneous Diagonalization of Two Covariance Kernels

By T. T. KADOTA

(Manuscript received January 20, 1967)

Let $K_1(s, t)$ and $K_2(s, t)$, $-T \leq s, t \leq T$, be real, symmetric, continuous and strictly positive-definite kernels, and denote by K_1 and K_2 the corresponding integral operators. Let $x(t)$ be a sample function of either of two zero-mean processes with covariances $K_1(s, t)$ and $K_2(s, t)$. We prove a generalized version of the following: If the integral equation

$$(K_2 \psi_i)(t) = \lambda_i (K_1 \psi_i)(t), \quad -T \leq t \leq T,$$

has formal solutions λ_i and $\psi_i(t)$ which may contain δ -functions, and if $\{K_1 \psi_i\}$ forms a complete set in $\mathcal{L}_2[-T, T]$, then (i) the two kernels have the following simultaneous diagonalization:

$$K_1(s, t) = \sum_i (K_1 \psi_i)(s) (K_1 \psi_i)(t),$$

$$K_2(s, t) = \sum_i \lambda_i (K_1 \psi_i)(s) (K_1 \psi_i)(t),$$

uniformly on $[-T, T] \times [-T, T]$, and (ii) the sample function has an expansion

$$x(t) = \sum_i (x, \psi_i) (K_1 \psi_i)(t)$$

in the stochastic mean, uniformly in t , and the coefficients are simultaneously orthogonal, i.e.,

$$E_1 \{(x, \psi_i)(x, \psi_j)\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad E_2 \{(x, \psi_i)(x, \psi_j)\} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij},$$

where (x, ψ_i) is obtained by formally integrating $\psi_i(t)$ against $x(t)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $K_1(s, t)$ and $K_2(s, t)$, $-T \leq s, t \leq T$, be real, symmetric, continuous and strictly positive-definite kernels, and denote by K_1 and

K_2 the integral operators with kernels $K_1(s, t)$ and $K_2(s, t)$. We have previously¹ established that, if $K_1^{-1}K_2K_1^{-1}$ is a densely defined and bounded operator on \mathfrak{L}_2 (the space of all square-integrable functions on $[-T, T]$) and if its extension to the whole of \mathfrak{L}_2 has eigenvalues λ_i and complete orthonormal eigenfunctions $\varphi_i(t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, then the two kernels have the following simultaneous diagonalization:

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(s, t) &= \sum_i (K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i)(s)(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i)(t), \\ K_2(s, t) &= \sum_i \lambda_i (K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i)(s)(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i)(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

uniformly on $[-T, T] \times [-T, T]$. In addition, if $x(t)$ is a sample function of either of two (separable and measurable) zero-mean processes with covariances $K_1(s, t)$ and $K_2(s, t)$ with associated measures P_1 and P_2 , then

$$x(t) = \sum_i \eta_i(x)(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i)(t) \quad (2)$$

in the stochastic mean, uniformly in t . Moreover,*

$$E_1\{\eta_i(x)\eta_j(x)\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad E_2\{\eta_i(x)\eta_j(x)\} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij},$$

where†

$$\eta_i(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x, K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_{in}) \quad (3)$$

in the stochastic mean, and $\{\varphi_{in}\}$ is any sequence of functions in the domain of $K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $\lim \|\varphi_i - \varphi_{in}\| = 0$.^{1,2} Furthermore, if the two kernels have continuous $2r$ th derivatives $(\partial^{2r}/\partial s^r \partial t^r)K_p(s, t)$, $p = 1, 2$, then (1) and (2) can be differentiated term-by-term r times while retaining the same senses of convergence.¹

We remarked in Ref. 1 that, if φ_i is in the domain of $K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\psi_i = K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i$ satisfies the integral equation

$$(K_2\psi_i)(t) = \lambda_i(K_1\psi_i)(t), \quad -T \leq t \leq T, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_i(x) &= (x, \psi_i) \quad \text{a.s. (almost surely),} \\ (K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi_i)(t) &= (K_1\psi_i)(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Slepian (private communication) has long conjectured that, if (4) admits formal solutions λ_i and ψ_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$, where ψ_i may contain

* E_p , $p = 1, 2$, denotes the expectation with respect to P_p .

† For any $f, g \in \mathfrak{L}_2$, (f, g) denotes the inner product of f and g , and $\|f\|$ the norm of f .

δ -functions and their derivatives, then the expansion coefficients and functions of (2) are given by formally substituting such ψ_i into (5).^{*} This conjecture, proved here, is significant since it provides a concrete means of obtaining the expansions (1) and (2). To illustrate the point, consider the following pair of covariance kernels:

$$K_1(s, t) = e^{-\alpha|s-t|}, \quad K_2(s, t) = e^{-\beta|s-t|}.$$

For this pair, (4) admits the following formal solutions⁵

$$\bar{\psi}_{2k}(t) = \cos \theta_k t + \frac{\cos \theta_k T}{\alpha + \beta} [\delta(t - T) + \delta(t + T)],$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{\psi}_{2k+1}(t) = \sin \hat{\theta}_k t + \frac{\sin \hat{\theta}_k T}{\alpha + \beta} [\delta(t - T) - \delta(t + T)],$$

corresponding to

$$\lambda_{2k} = \frac{\beta \alpha^2 + \theta_k^2}{\alpha \beta^2 + \theta_k^2}, \quad \lambda_{2k+1} = \frac{\beta \alpha^2 + \hat{\theta}_k^2}{\alpha \beta^2 + \hat{\theta}_k^2},$$

where θ_k and $\hat{\theta}_k$ are positive solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta) \theta_k \tan \theta_k T &= \alpha \beta - \theta_k^2, \\ -(\alpha + \beta) \hat{\theta}_k \cot \hat{\theta}_k T &= \alpha \beta - \hat{\theta}_k^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

respectively, indexed in ascending order. Thus, formally,

$$\begin{aligned} (x, \bar{\psi}_{2k}) &= \int_{-T}^T x(t) \cos \theta_k t \, dt + \frac{\cos \theta_k T}{\alpha + \beta} [x(T) + x(-T)], \\ (x, \bar{\psi}_{2k+1}) &= \int_{-T}^T x(t) \sin \hat{\theta}_k t \, dt + \frac{\sin \hat{\theta}_k T}{\alpha + \beta} [x(T) - x(-T)], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (K_1 \bar{\psi}_{2k})(t) &= \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + \theta_k^2} \cos \theta_k t, \\ (K_2 \bar{\psi}_{2k+1})(t) &= \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + \hat{\theta}_k^2} \sin \hat{\theta}_k t. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Through a direct calculation, we previously⁵ established that

- (i) $K_1^{-1} K_2 K_1^{-1}$ is densely defined and bounded,
- (ii) its extension has eigenvalues λ_i given by (7) and complete

^{*} Similar conjectures have been made elsewhere.^{3,4}

orthonormal eigenfunctions φ_i given as

$$\varphi_i = c_i \text{ l.i.m. } \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\psi_i, f_{1j}) f_{1j},$$

(iii) $\eta_i = c_i(x, \tilde{\psi}_i)$ a.s.,* $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_i = c_i K_1 \tilde{\psi}_i$, which verifies Slepian's conjecture for this example. Here c_i is a normalization constant given by

$$c_{2k} = \left[\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + \theta_k^2} \left(T + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)\alpha\beta}{\theta_k^4 + (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\theta_k^2 + \alpha^2\beta^2} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$c_{2k+1} = c_{2k} |_{\theta_k = \hat{\theta}_k},$$

(that is, c_{2k+1} is obtained by replacing θ_k with $\hat{\theta}_k$ in c_{2k}), μ_{pj} and f_{pj} , $p = 1, 2$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, are the eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenfunctions of K_p , and (ψ_i, f_{1i}) is defined analogously to (9).

In this paper we prove the generalization of (i), (ii), and (iii), starting with abstract kernels $K_1(s, t)$ and $K_2(s, t)$ and a generalized version of the integral equation (4).

II. MAIN RESULT

Theorem: Let $K_p(s, t)$, $p = 1, 2$, $-T \leq s, t \leq T$, be real, symmetric, strictly positive-definite kernels with continuous 2rth derivatives $(\partial^{2r}/\partial s^r \partial t^r) K_p(s, t)$. If there exist sequences of real numbers $\{a_{ilm}\}$, $\{t_m\}$: $-T \leq t_m \leq T$, and $\{\lambda_i\}$:

$$0 < b_1 \leq \lambda_i \leq b_2, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (11)$$

for some constants b_1 and b_2 , and sequences of square-integrable functions $\{\psi_{il}\}$, which satisfy the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^r \left[\int_{-T}^T \left(\frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l} K_2(s, t) \right) \psi_{il}(t) dt + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} \frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l} K_2(s, t) \Big|_{t=t_m} \right] \\ = \lambda_i \sum_{l=0}^r \left[\int_{-T}^T \left(\frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l} K_1(s, t) \right) \psi_{il}(t) dt + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} \frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l} K_1(s, t) \Big|_{t=t_m} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$-T \leq s \leq T,$

such that the right-hand side of (12) forms a complete set in \mathfrak{L}_2 , then

(i) $K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_2 K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a densely defined and bounded operator on \mathfrak{L}_2 ,

(ii) its extension to the whole of \mathfrak{L}_2 has eigenvalues and complete orthonormal eigenfunctions, which are the λ_i and

$$\varphi_i(s) = \sum_{l=0}^r \left[(K_{10l}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{il})(s) + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{10l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(s, t_m) \right], \quad (13)$$

* This portion is proved in a separate article.⁶

(iii) η_i and $K_{i0}^1 \varphi_i$ of (2) can be given, respectively, by

$$\eta_i(x) = \sum_{l=0}^r \left[(x^{(l)}, \psi_{il}) + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} x^{(l)}(t_m) \right] \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (14)$$

and by the right-hand side of (12) without λ_i . Here, K_{p0}^1 , $p = 1, 2$, denotes an integral operator whose kernel is defined as

$$K_{p0l}^1(s, t) = \sum_j \mu_{pi}^1 f_{pi}(s) f_{pi}^{(l)}(t) \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, r, \quad (15)$$

in the mean in s , uniformly in t .

Remarks:

(i) $K_{p0l}^1(s, t)$ of (15) is well defined since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_{pi}^1 f_{pi}^{(k)}(s) f_{pi}^{(l)}(t) = \frac{\partial^{k+l}}{\partial s^k \partial t^l} K_p(s, t), \quad p = 1, 2, \quad (16)$$

uniformly in (s, t) .⁷ It follows from this that (15) converges in the mean in (s, t) as well. Hence, from Fubini's theorem, $K_{i0l}^1(s, t)$ is a square-integrable function of t for almost every s . Thus, $\varphi_i(s)$ of (13) is well defined. We assume without loss of generality that φ_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots$, are normalized.

(ii) For the example in Section I, $r = 0$, $q = 2$, $t_1 = T$, $t_2 = -T$, and

$$\psi_{2k,0}(t) = c_{2k} \cos \theta_k t, \quad \psi_{2k+1,0}(t) = c_{2k+1} \sin \theta_k t,$$

$$a_{2k,0,1} = a_{2k,0,2} = c_{2k} \frac{\cos \theta_k T}{\alpha + \beta},$$

$$a_{2k+1,0,1} = -a_{2k+1,0,2} = c_{2k+1} \frac{\sin \theta_k T}{\alpha + \beta},$$

$$b_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad b_2 = \frac{\beta}{\alpha},$$

the right-hand side of (12) without λ_i is given by (10), and completeness of $\{\cos \theta_k t, \sin \theta_k t\}$ follows from (18) and a gap-and-density theorem.⁸

III. PROOF OF THEOREM

For notational simplicity, we write K_{pk} , $p = 1, 2$, for the integral operator whose kernel is

$$K_{pkl}(u, v) = \frac{\partial^{k+l}}{\partial u^k \partial v^l} K_p(u, v), \quad k, l = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

K_{p00} and K_{p00}^1 are abbreviated as before by K_p and K_p^1 , respectively.

(i) For any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_2$,

$$(K_{p0k}^{\frac{1}{2}} f, K_{p0l}^{\frac{1}{2}} g) = (f, K_{pk l} g), \quad (17)$$

$$K_{p0l}^{\frac{1}{2}} g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{pi}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{pi}(f_{pi}^{(l)}, g). \quad (18)$$

To prove (17), note

$$\begin{aligned} (K_{p0k}^{\frac{1}{2}} f, K_{p0l}^{\frac{1}{2}} g) &= \iiint_{-T}^T f(s)g(t)K_{p0k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(u,s)K_{p0l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(u,t) ds dt du \\ &= \int_{-T}^T \int f(s)g(t) \sum_i \mu_{pi}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{pi}^{(k)}(s) f_{pi}^{(l)}(t) ds dt \\ &= (f, K_{pk l} g), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the mean convergence of (15) and the third from the uniform convergence of (16). To prove (18), consider

$$\left\| K_{p0l}^{\frac{1}{2}} g - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{pi}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{pi}(f_{pi}^{(l)}, g) \right\|^2 = (g, K_{p l l} g) - \sum_{i=0}^n \mu_{pi}(f_{pi}^{(l)}, g)^2,$$

which vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since (16) converges uniformly in (s, t) .

(ii) $K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are densely defined and bounded on \mathcal{L}_2 .

To prove this, apply $K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ on both sides of (12) and use (18) to obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \left[K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{il} + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_m) \right] = \lambda_i K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_i.$$

Then, for each i ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i^2 \| K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_i \|^2 &= \sum_{k,l=0}^r \left\{ (K_{20k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{ik}, K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{il}) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^q [a_{ilm}(K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_m), K_{20k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{ik}) + a_{ikm}(K_{20k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_m), K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{il})] \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^q a_{ilm} a_{ikn} (K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_m), K_{20k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_n)) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k,l=0}^r \left\{ (\psi_{ik}, K_{2kl} \psi_{il} + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{2kl}(\cdot, t_m)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^q a_{ikn} \left[(K_{2kl} \psi_{il})(t_n) + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{2kl}(t_n, t_m) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lambda_i \sum_{k, l=0}^r \left[\left(K_{i0k}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{ik}, K_{i0l}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{il} + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{i0l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_m) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^q a_{ikn} \left(K_{i0k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_n), K_{i0l}^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{il} + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{i0l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t_m) \right) \right] \\
&= \lambda_i \|\varphi_i\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (17) and (18), the third from k time differentiation of (12) and from (17) and (18), and the last from (13). Hence, with φ_i being normalized,

$$\|K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_i\|^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Now $\{\varphi_i\}$ is complete since the right-hand side of (12) without λ_i , which forms a complete set by hypothesis, is equal to $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_i$, and $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is strictly positive-definite. Hence, from (11), $K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is densely defined and bounded.

To prove that $K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is also densely defined and bounded, define $\hat{\varphi}_i$ as the normalized right-hand side of (13) with the subscript 1 replaced by 2. Completeness of $\{\hat{\varphi}_i\}$ is similarly deduced via (12). Now, by following the same procedure with the roles of K_1 and K_2 interchanged, we obtain

$$\|K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\varphi}_i\|^2 = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Then, the assertion follows immediately from (11).

(iii) The ranges of $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are equal, namely,

$$K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{L}_2) = K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{L}_2).$$

To prove this, denote by L and M the extensions to the whole of \mathfrak{L}_2 of $K_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively, which exist as a result of (ii). Since the domains of $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} L$ and $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} M$ are \mathfrak{L}_2 , which is also the domains of $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} = K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} L, \quad K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} = K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} M.$$

Then, from the first equality, $K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{L}_2) \subset K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{L}_2)$, while, from the second, $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{L}_2) \subset K_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathfrak{L}_2)$. Hence, the assertion holds.

(iv)

$$K_{20t}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t) = \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{1j} f_{1j}^{(t)}(t), \quad -T \leq t \leq T, \quad (19)$$

$$K_{20t}^{\frac{1}{2}} g = \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n K_2^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{1j} \langle f_{1j}^{(t)}, g \rangle, \quad g \in \mathfrak{L}_2. \quad (20)$$

To prove (19), note first that f_{1j} , $j = 0, 1, \dots$, are in the domain of K_2^{-1} as a result of (iii) and also that $(K_2^{-1}f_{1i}, K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{1j}) = \delta_{ij}$ from orthonormality of $\{f_{1i}\}$. Thus, $\{K_2^{-1}f_{1i}\}$ and $\{K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{1i}\}$ form a pair of mutually reciprocal bases of \mathfrak{L}_2 . Hence,

$$K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t) = \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{1j}(K_2^{-1}f_{1j}, K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t)). \quad (21)$$

But from (15)

$$(K_2^{-1}f_{1j}, K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f_{1i}, f_{2i})f_{2i}^{(l)}(t), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, r, \quad (22)$$

uniformly in t . Now, since $\{f_{2i}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{L}_2 ,

$$f_{1j} = \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (f_{1i}, f_{2i})f_{2i}.$$

But, according to (22), the right-hand side converges uniformly. Hence, the above partial sum must converge uniformly to f_{1j} . Suppose for some k , $0 \leq k < r$,

$$f_{1j}^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f_{1i}, f_{2i})f_{2i}^{(k)}(t) \quad (23)$$

uniformly in t . Then, from (22),

$$f_{1j}^{(k+1)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f_{1i}, f_{2i})f_{2i}^{(k+1)}(t)$$

uniformly in t .⁹ Hence, by induction, (23) holds for every k , $0 \leq k \leq r$. Therefore, from (22),

$$(K_2^{-1}f_{1j}, K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\cdot, t)) = f_{1j}^{(l)}(t), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

Then, (19) follows from (21) and the above.

To prove (20), we expand $K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}g$ relative to $\{K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{1i}\}$:

$$K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}g = \text{l.i.m.} \sum_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n (K_2^{-1}f_{1i}, K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}g)K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}f_{1i},$$

and note from (18) and (23) that

$$(K_2^{-1}f_{1j}, K_{20l}^{\frac{1}{2}}g) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f_{1i}, f_{2i})(f_{2i}^{(l)}, g) = (f_{1j}^{(l)}, g).$$

(v) To prove (i) of the theorem, we note from (ii) and (iii) that $K_1^{-1}K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is everywhere-defined and bounded on \mathfrak{L}_2 . Hence, its adjoint $(K_1^{-1}K_2^{\frac{1}{2}})^*$ is also everywhere-defined and bounded. Now, for any

$f \in \mathfrak{L}_2$ and $g \in \mathfrak{D}(K_1^{-1})$, the domain of K_1^{-1} , we have $(K_1^{-1}K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}f, g) = (f, K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{-1}g)$. Thus, $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{-1}g = (K_1^{-1}K_2^{\frac{1}{2}})^*g$, $g \in \mathfrak{D}(K_1^{-1})$. Hence, $K_2^{\frac{1}{2}}K_1^{-1}$ is bounded. Since $\mathfrak{D}(K_1^{-1})$ is dense in \mathfrak{L}_2 , we conclude that $K_1^{-1}K_2K_1^{-1}$ is densely defined and bounded.

(vi) To prove (ii) of the theorem, define

$$\varphi_{i,n}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^r \left[(\psi_{il}, f_{1j}^{(l)}) + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} f_{1j}^{(l)}(t_m) \right] f_{1j}(t), \quad (24)$$

and note $\varphi_{i,n} \in \mathfrak{D}(K_1^{-1})$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_i - \varphi_{i,n}\| = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_2 K_1^{-1} \varphi_{i,n} &= \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^r \left[(\psi_{il}, f_{1j}^{(l)}) + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} f_{1j}^{(l)}(t_m) \right] K_2 f_{1j} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^r \left[K_{20l} \psi_{il} + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} K_{20l}(\cdot, t_m) \right] \\ &= \lambda_i K_1^{-1} \varphi_i, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (19), (20), (15) and (18), and third from (12) and (13). Now denote by Q the extension of $K_1^{-1}K_2K_1^{-1}$ to the whole of \mathfrak{L}_2 . Then,

$$K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Q f = \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_2 K_1^{-1} f_n$$

for any $f \in \mathfrak{L}_2$ and $\{f_n\}: f_n \in \mathfrak{D}(K_1^{-1})$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\| = 0$, since

$$\|K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Q f - K_2 K_1^{-1} f_n\| \leq \|K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Q(f - f_n)\| + \|(K_1^{\frac{1}{2}} Q - K_2 K_1^{-1}) f_n\|$$

which vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $Q\varphi_i = \lambda_i \varphi_i$. Lastly, since $\{\varphi_i\}$ is complete in \mathfrak{L}_2 , $\{\lambda_i\}$ constitutes the entire spectrum of Q .

(vii) To prove (iii) of the theorem, note from (3), (24) and (vi) that

$$\eta_i(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^r \left[(\psi_{il}, f_{1j}^{(l)}) + \sum_{m=1}^q a_{ilm} f_{1j}^{(l)}(t_m) \right] (f_{1j}, x).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 \left| (x^{(1)}, \psi_{il}) - \sum_{j=0}^n (x, f_{1j}) (f_{1j}^{(1)}, \psi_{il}) \right|^2 \\ = (\psi_{il}, K_{11l} \psi_{il}) - \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{1j} (\psi_{il}, f_{1j}^{(1)})^2, \\ E_1 \left| x^{(1)}(t) - \sum_{j=0}^n (x, f_{1j}) f_{1j}^{(1)}(t) \right|^2 = K_{11l}(t, t) - \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_{1j} [f_{1j}^{(1)}(t)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

both of which vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of (16). Also, with the use of (17) and (18),

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_2 \left| (x^{(t)}, \psi_{it}) - \sum_{i=0}^n (x, f_{1i})(f_{1i}^{(t)}, \psi_{it}) \right|^2 \\
 = (\psi_{it}, K_{2it}\psi_{it}) - 2 \sum_{i=0}^n (\psi_{it}, f_{1i}^{(t)})(f_{1i}, K_{2it}\psi_{it}) \\
 + \sum_{i,k=0}^n (\psi_{it}, f_{1i}^{(t)})(\psi_{it}, f_{1k}^{(t)})(f_{1i}, K_2 f_{1k}) \\
 = \left\| K_{2it}^{1/2} \psi_{it} - \sum_{i=0}^n K_{2f_{1i}}^{1/2}(f_{1i}^{(t)}, \psi_{it}) \right\|^2, \\
 E_2 \left| x^{(t)}(t) - \sum_{i=0}^n (x, f_{1i})f_{1i}^{(t)}(t) \right|^2 = K_{2it}(t, t) - 2 \sum_{i=0}^n f_{1i}^{(t)}(t)(K_{2it}f_{1i})(t) \\
 + \sum_{i,k=0}^n f_{1i}^{(t)}(t)f_{1k}^{(t)}(t)(f_{1i}, K_2 f_{1k}) = \left\| K_{2it}^{1/2}(\cdot, t) - \sum_{i=0}^n K_{2f_{1i}}^{1/2}f_{1i}^{(t)}(t) \right\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

both of which vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of (19) and (20). Therefore, upon combination of the above results, (14) is proved.

REFERENCES

1. Kadota, T. T., Simultaneous Diagonalization of Two Covariance Kernels and Application to Second-order Stochastic Processes, submitted for publication in SIAM J. Appl. Math.
2. Root, W. L., Singular Gaussian Measures in Detection Theory, Proc. Symp. on Time Series Analysis, edited by M. Rosenblatt, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1963, pp. 292-315.
3. Yaglom, A. M., On the Equivalence and Perpendicularity of Two Gaussian Measures in Function Space, Proc. Symp. on Time Series Analysis, edited by M. Rosenblatt, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1963, pp. 327-348.
4. Huang, R. Y. and Johnson, R. A., Information Capacity of Time Continuous Channels, IRE Trans. Inform. Theory, IT-8, September, 1962, pp. 191-205.
5. Kadota, T. T., Simultaneously Orthogonal Expansion of Two Stationary Gaussian Processes-Examples, B.S.T.J., 45, September, 1966, pp. 1071-1096.
6. Kadota, T. T. and Shepp, L. A., On the Best Finite Set of Linear Observables for Discriminating Two Gaussian Signals, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, April, 1967.
7. Kadota, T. T., Term-by-term Differentiability of Mercer's Expansion, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 18, February, 1967, pp. 69-72.
8. Levinson, N., Gap and Density Theorems, Am. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, 26, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1940, p. 3, Theorem II.
9. Apostol, T. M., *Mathematical Analysis*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1957, p. 403.